Journal of Glaciology



Article

Cite this article: O'Neel S, McNeil C, Sass LC, Florentine C, Baker EH, Peitzsch E, McGrath D, Fountain AG, Fagre D (2019). Reanalysis of the US Geological Survey Benchmark Glaciers: long-term insight into climate forcing of glacier mass balance. *Journal of Glaciology* 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2019.66

Received: 16 April 2019 Revised: 30 July 2019 Accepted: 7 August 2019

Keywords:

Arctic glaciology; glacier mass balance; mountain glaciers

Author for correspondence: Shad O'Neel, E-mail: soneel@usgs.gov

© The Author(s) 2019. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Reanalysis of the US Geological Survey Benchmark Glaciers: long-term insight into climate forcing of glacier mass balance

Shad O'Neel¹ , Christopher McNeil¹ , Louis C. Sass¹ , Caitlyn Florentine² , Emily H. Baker¹ , Erich Peitzsch² , Daniel McGrath³ , Andrew G. Fountain⁴ and Daniel Fagre²

¹U.S. Geological Survey Alaska Science Center, Anchorage, AK, USA; ²U.S. Geological Survey Northern Rocky Mountain Science Center, West Glacier MT, USA; ³Department of Geosciences, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA and ⁴Department of Geology, Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA

Abstract

Mountain glaciers integrate climate processes to provide an unmatched signal of regional climate forcing. However, extracting the climate signal via intercomparison of regional glacier mass-balance records can be problematic when methods for extrapolating and calibrating direct glaciological measurements are mixed or inconsistent. To address this problem, we reanalyzed and compared long-term mass-balance records from the US Geological Survey Benchmark Glaciers. These five glaciers span maritime and continental climate regimes of the western United States and Alaska. Each glacier exhibits cumulative mass loss since the mid-20th century, with average rates ranging from -0.58 to -0.30 m w.e. a^{-1} . We produced a set of solutions using different extrapolation and calibration methods to inform uncertainty estimates, which range from 0.22 to 0.44 m w.e. a^{-1} . Mass losses are primarily driven by increasing summer warming. Continentality exerts a stronger control on mass loss than latitude. Similar to elevation, topographic shading, snow redistribution and glacier surface features often exert important mass-balance controls. The reanalysis underscores the value of geodetic calibration to resolve mass-balance magnitude, as well as the irreplaceable value of direct measurements in contributing to the process-based understanding of glacier mass balance.

1. Introduction

Implications of glacier mass loss span global (Gardner and others, 2013; Marzeion and others, 2017; Zemp and others, 2019) to local scales (e.g. Moore, 1992; O'Neel and others, 2015; Sass and others, 2017; Schoen and others, 2017). Yet resolving regional patterns of mountain glacier mass loss remains challenging (e.g. Gardner and others, 2013; Box and others, 2018; Menounos and others, 2018), in part due to the paucity of long-term records, and in part due to the complex response of glaciers to climate forcing (Oerlemans, 2000; Roe, 2011). Modern remote-sensing capabilities enable rich analytical insight, but direct glaciological observations remain irreplaceable for capturing short-term (seasonal, interannual) changes and contributing to the process-based understanding of mass balance. However, comparisons drawn from these field-based records can be complicated by differences in data collection and analysis methods. For example, glacier-wide mass-balance estimates can be produced using multiple approaches to extrapolate point measurements to the entire glacier area, including mass-balance profiles (Fountain and Vecchia, 1999; Krimmel, 2000), an area-weighting (site-index) method (e.g. March and Trabant, 1996; van Beusekom and others, 2010), linear regressions between a single stake to robust observations from previous years (Krimmel, 1989), contour mapping (Meier and others, 1971), as well as inclusion of ad-hoc correction factors (e.g. van Beusekom and others, 2010). The magnitude of variance and resultant uncertainty from mixing these approaches has never been quantified and could be significant, highlighting the need for common intercomparison and interpretation frameworks for mass-balance datasets (Huss and others, 2009). Additionally, systematic reanalyses of massbalance time series (e.g. Zemp and others, 2013) helps facilitate refinement of ongoing practices of long-term field programs by providing insight into biases introduced as technology evolves, and by linking individual steps of the analysis to overall estimates of uncertainty in mass-balance estimates.

The main objective of this study is to present a unified mass-balance reanalysis for the five US Geological Survey (USGS) Benchmark Glaciers (Gulkana, Wolverine, Lemon Creek, South Cascade and Sperry glaciers). To accomplish this, we first describe in detail a consistent, adaptable approach for estimating glacier-wide mass balance at annual and seasonal time steps from an inconsistent suite of observations. Our reanalysis includes an examination of several pointbalance extrapolation and geodetic calibration approaches that capitalize on the increased availability and quality of aerial and space-borne imagery to minimize systematic errors in

64

65

66

67

68

field data. Finally, we use the reanalysis results to gain broad spatial and temporal insight into glacier response to climate forcing.

2. Background and setting

The concept of a continental scale investigation of North American glacier-climate linkages dates to the 1957 International Geophysical Year (IGY; American Geographical Society, 1960). USGS initiated a mass-balance program at Washington's South Cascade Glacier as part of the IGY (Meier, 1958), which was the first step towards a long-term programmatic effort to document and understand glacier-climate linkages. During 1966, as part of the International Hydrological Decade (IHD), the project expanded to Gulkana and Wolverine glaciers in Alaska (Meier and others, 1971) and more recently to Montana's Sperry Glacier in 2005 (Clark and others, 2017). We also include mass-balance data from southeast Alaska's Lemon Creek Glacier, traditionally maintained by the Juneau Icefield Research Program (JIRP). This glacier fills the geographic gap between the glaciers of the contiguous USA and mainland Alaska, prompting USGS to initiate seasonal mass-balance observations that augment the ongoing JIRP's summer-season efforts (Pelto and others, 2013). Logistics and scientific value played fundamental roles in site selection, with consideration of access, hazards and in some cases a history of previous research (Meier and others, 1971; Fountain and others, 1997). Representativeness of regional climate also influenced the selection process. The study glaciers span the primary North American climate regimes that support glaciers: midlatitude maritime, midlatitude continental, high-latitude maritime and high-latitude continental (Fig. 1a).

Gulkana Glacier (GG; Fig. 1b) is located in the high-latitude continental climate regime of Alaska's Delta Mountains. USGS established an observation program here that included measuring snow and ice mass balance at three sites (nominally), a weather station (air temperature, precipitation, wind) installed on the glacier margin below the long-term equilibrium line (ELA) and a streamgauge on the outlet stream below the glacier. Two auxiliary weather stations were added to the network after 2010. The basin area above the USGS streamgauge is 31.5 km², and the glacier area decreased from 18.7 to 16.0 km² from 1967 to 2016 (Table 1).

Wolverine Glacier (WG; Fig. 1c) is found in the high-latitude maritime climate regime of Alaska's Kenai Mountains. In 1966, simultaneous with GG, USGS established an observation program that included measuring snow and ice mass balance at three sites (nominally) (Mayo and others, 2004; O'Neel and others, 2014), a weather station (air temperature, precipitation, wind) installed on the glacier margin below the long-term equilibrium line (ELA) and a streamgauge on the outlet stream below the glacier. An additional weather station was added in 2012. The basin area above the USGS streamgauge is 24.6 km², and the glacier area decreased from 17.1 to 15.6 km² from 1969 to 2018 (Table 1).

Lemon Creek Glacier (LCG; Fig. 1d) is located in the maritime high-latitude climate regime. Snow and ice mass-balance observations began in 1953, making LCG the longest record presented here (Miller and Pelto, 1999; Pelto and others, 2013). Extensive observations characterize the efforts through the first two decades of research, which transitioned into the modern five-site network following the IHD, ~1970. The early program included weather observations near the glacier, and a streamgauge on the outlet stream. However, in our analyses, frequent and extended discontinuities required using nonlocal meteorological data from the Juneau Airport. The airport is located 13 km from the glacier at sea level (Station ID: USW00025309; ncdc.noaa.gov). The basin area above the USGS streamgauge is 31.9 km² and the glacier area decreased from 12.8 to 9.7 km² between 1948 and 2018 (Table 1).

Research began at Washington State's South Cascade Glacier (SCG; Fig. 1e) in 1958 (Meier, 1958). SCG represents the

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

midlatitude maritime climate, characteristic of the North Cascade Mountains. The glacier program included weather measurements (air temperature, precipitation, intermittently maintained) and a streamgauge on the outlet stream of the lake in front of the glacier. A new, seasonal gauge was installed just below the glacier in 2002. Measurements strategies (e.g. stake networks, measurement dates) varied through time, but, on average, five seasonally measured sites form a continuous record of mass-balance observations. The basin area above the USGS streamgauge is 6.1 km² and the glacier area decreased from 2.9 to 1.8 km² between 1958 and 2015 (Table 1). SCG is the only Benchmark Glacier that calved icebergs for a portion of its record. The glacier terminated in a lake from ~1930–1975, but calving is thought to not have influenced mass balance significantly (Horlings, 2016).

Sperry Glacier (SG; Fig. 1f) is located along the Continental Divide within Glacier National Park, Montana, and represents the midlatitude continental or transitional climate. Seasonal mass-balance observations began in 2005 at six sites, complimented by historic photographic and topographic map records (Johnson, 1980) that provide longer-term context to the 14-year field program. A weather station installed on the glacier's western margin during 2006 records temperature, humidity, wind speed, wind direction and solar radiation. The glacier resides in a ~4 km² hanging cirque, that contains several small, ungaugeable outlet streams that pour over cliffs at the cirque boundary. As of 2015, the glacier had an area of 0.80 km² (Fagre and others, 2017), only slightly reduced from the 1950 area of 1.3 km², making SG the smallest of the benchmark glaciers (Table 1).

3. Data

Glacier-wide mass-balance estimates require several classes of data, including direct glaciological measurements (accumulation and ablation), meteorological data (temperature and precipitation) and descriptions of the glacier hypsometry (area, areaelevation distribution), which are derived from the air- and spaceborne imagery. The comprehensive dataset used in the reanalysis is available for download (Baker and others, 2018).

3.1 Point mass-balance data

A primary emphasis of this reanalysis involved consistent aggre-111 gation of ablation stake and snowpit data to estimate point 112 mass balances. All possible data were incorporated into surface 113 height change estimates before they were scaled by material 114 (snow, firn, ice) density to obtain a mass balance (m w.e. a^{-1}). 115 We prescribe an ice density of 900 kg m⁻³. For snow pits or 116 cores, density is measured through the entire snowpack, from 117 which a column-average density is calculated. Stakes are used to 118 estimate ablation in a stratigraphic, floating-date time system by 119 measuring the snow or ice level relative to the presumably fixed 120 stake (Cogley and others, 2011). Measurement sites were estab-121 lished at fixed locations (index sites) early in each project. 122 Stakes are installed upstream of, or at index sites, then replaced 123 seasonally (SCG, SG), biennially (GG, WG, LCG) or as needed 124 to prevent meltout or flow into a different mass-balance regime. 125 When the glacier surfaces are snow-covered, depth measurements 126 to ice or the previous summer surface (firn) are performed in 127 snow pits or by extracting a core if snowpack exceeds ~2 m. 128 Identification of the previous summer surface can be challenging 129 in cores, which intermittently led to this surface being marked 130 with sawdust, colored chalk or hardware cloth to facilitate inter-131 pretation. Probe observations often augment snow pits to better 132 capture spatial variability of seasonal snow depths. 133

Field visits are scheduled to approximately coincide with the 134 mass extrema, though our reanalysis approach (Section 4.1) 135



Fig. 1. (a) USGS Benchmark Glacier locations (colored circles), with North American glacier extent from Global Land Ice Measurements from Space database (GLIMS) shown in cyan (GLIMS and NSIDC 2005, updated 2018). Individual glaciers and surrounding topography are mapped in panels (b-f): (b) Gulkana, (c) Wolverine, (d) Lemon Creek, (e) South Cascade and (f) Sperry glaciers show the modern glacier outline in a color corresponding to the glacier in panel (a), and midcentury (1948-58) glacier extent in black. Mass-balance measurement sites (index sites) are labeled with site names and dots. Large dots indicate long-term index sites, and small dots indicate shorter length records. Local weather stations used for climate forcing are shown with a pink star at Wolverine and Gulkana glaciers. Additional weather stations adjacent to the glaciers are shown with a green star. Streamgages at Gulkana, Wolverine, and South Cascade glaciers are shown with a blue square. Scale bars vary among panels.

accounts for mass changes that may occur between measurement date and seasonal mass extrema. To minimize the magnitude of these modeled adjustments, we measure winter ablation that occurs after fall field visits (measured the following spring) and summer accumulation (measured during fall visits) to ensure that these components are distributed to the appropriate balance years. Reconstructing these mass-balance components was not always possible in the early record when mass-balance practices were still being refined.

Measurement networks and protocols vary among the glaciers and in time commensurate with changes in staff, funding and the ever-evolving knowledge base. In all cases, measurement sites were located in areas thought to be representative of broad regions of the glacier (Fig. 1). Except for SG, original site selections predate all current project staff.

Three fixed sites characterized the WG and GG programs over most of the record, distributed with the intention to represent the mass-balance regime of the ablation zone, the equilibrium line altitude (ELA) region and the accumulation zone. At SCG and LCG, site consistency was not emphasized over much of the record; rather, measurements occurred in general regions (typically, 5–10 sites). JIRP assembled the majority of the LCG record, which is characterized by a single late-summer, accumulation dominated set of annual observations (Pelto and others, 2013; McNeil, 2016). Data from LCG and SCG required additional efforts to prepare input for standard processing (Supplementary Material). At SG, the influence of wind and avalanching was recognized early on, leading to a stake network that spans both the width and length of the glacier.

Upgrades to observation networks occurred throughout the project history. Since the late 1990s, SCG sites were distributed at quasi-regular elevation intervals along the glacier centerline. Network size increased two- to threefold at GG and WG after 2010 (Fig. 1). Seasonal measurements began at LCG in 2013. The number of snow pits used to determine accumulation rates and gradients remained variable among the glaciers, ranging from one annually on SG to five on LCG. New index sites have been periodically established to accommodate retreat beyond the lowest elevation sites at many of the glaciers. In accord with the concepts of the USGS Benchmark Glaciers, extensive ablation stake networks were used early on to determine sparse-network site representativeness (e.g. Tangborn and others, 1975), but these efforts were later halted, and only recently reinitiated.

3.2 Meteorological data

For WG and GG, meteorological stations installed within the watershed (Fig. 1) provide daily estimates of average air temperature and total precipitation catch, but discontinuous local records for SCG, LCG and SG required the use of continuously operating

3

136

137 138 139

140

141

142

143 144 145

146

147

148 149

150 151

152 153 154

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175 176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

202

251 252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

fable 1. Geodetic analyses data includin	g acquisition year, mass balance,	glacier area (km ²) and fractional	area change relative to the reference DEM
--	-----------------------------------	--	---

ilacier	Year	Geodetic mass balance (m w.e.)	Uncertainty (m w.e.)	Glacier area (km²)	Area change (%)
Gulkana	1967	-26.6	2.80	18.7	14
	1974	-23.6	2.49	Glacier area (km ²) 18.7 18.4 18.1 18.0 16.9 16.9 16.9 16.0 17.1 17.1 17.1 17.0 16.8 16.3 15.9 15.6 12.8	13
	1979	-19.2	1.86		12
	1993	-16.9	2.52	18.0	13
	2005	-6.9	1.28	16.9	5
	2007	-5.9	0.60	16.9	5
	2016	0	0	16.0	0
Wolverine	1969	-17.2	2.86	17.1	9
	1972	-18.0	2.24	17.1	9
	1979	-17.1	3.43	17.0	9
	1995	-14.9	1.72	16.8	7
	2006	-8.7	2.14	16.3	5
	2016	-2.4	0.24	15.9	1
	2018	0	0	15.6	0
Lemon Creek	1948	-49.6	11.83	12.8	32
	1957	-39.9	3.68	12.4	28
	1979	-36.7	3.03	12.1	25
	1989	-32.5	2.67	11.7	21
	1999	-23.4	2.33	10.7 10.4	11
	2013	-11.2	1.74		7
	2016	-5.3	1.11	10.1	5
	2018	0	0	(m w.e.)(km²)2.8018.72.4918.41.8618.12.5218.01.2816.90.6016.9016.02.8617.13.4317.01.7216.82.1416.30.2415.9015.611.8312.83.6812.43.0312.12.6711.72.3310.71.7410.41.1110.109.73.502.91.902.71.902.71.062.10.412.001.81.590.8600.81	0
South Cascade	1958	-33.1	3.50	2.9	61
	1970	-26.1	2.80 18.7 2.49 18.4 1.86 18.1 2.52 18.0 1.28 16.9 0.60 16.9 0 16.0 2.86 17.1 2.24 17.1 3.43 17.0 1.72 16.8 2.14 16.3 0.24 15.9 0 15.6 11.83 12.8 3.68 12.4 3.03 12.1 2.67 11.7 2.33 10.7 1.74 10.4 1.11 10.1 0 9.7 3.50 2.9 1.90 2.7 1.90 2.7 1.90 2.7 1.06 2.1 0.41 2.0 0 1.8 1.59 0.86 0 0.81	51	
	1979	-25.8	1.90	2.7	46
	1986	-23.2	2.06	2.6	41
	1992	-16.4	1.23	2.3	26
	2001	-11.7	1.01	2.2	18
	2004	-8.1	1.06	2.1	17
	2008	-5.0	0.41	2.0	12
	2015	0	0	1.8	0
Sperry	2005	-0.9	1.59	0.86	6
	2014	0	0	0.81	0

The reference DEM is indicated with bold, italicized text.

distant weather stations (Wheler and others, 2014). We chose to use the local stations at GG and WG, as relatively few data gaps exist (Table S1), and regional lapse rates are poorly defined. At these two stations, we fill short (<3 day) gaps using linear interpolation and long gaps via monthly regressions with data from distant stations, typically at lower elevations (van Beusekom and others, 2010). Further details of the USGS-maintained instrumentation, changes to instrumentation and quality control are provided in the Supplementary Material.

3.3 Geospatial data

A suite of 33 DEMs provide geometric and geodetic constraints on the mass-balance reanalysis (Tables S2–S6). Through ~2008, stereo aerial photography of SCG, LCG, WG and GG was episodically acquired as time and budgets allowed. These historic data were reprocessed using Structure from Motion (SfM) photogrammetric methods to produce higher-accuracy DEMs (e.g. Westoby and others, 2012). Since 2008, airborne imaging has largely been replaced by optical commercial satellite imagery from the DigitalGlobe constellation (Neigh and others, 2013). This sub-meter resolution imagery along with automated processing engines have streamlined the DEM production process (e.g. AMES stereo pipe-line; Shean and others, 2016). Exceptions to optical acquisitions include the 2000 (SRTM; https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/) and 2013 (IfSAR; http://ifsar.gina.alaska.edu) LCG DEMs, which were pro-duced from synthetic aperture radar products, and the 2016 WG DEM, which was produced from airborne lidar.

Glacier hypsometry (area-elevation distribution) was first determined by digitizing the glacier margins in available orthophotographs to obtain total glacier area. The elevation distribution was then assembled by partitioning glacier surface elevations (from available DEMs) into elevation bins commensurate with the total elevation range of each glacier (SG: 30 m, SCG: 50 m; LCG, GG, WG: 100 m). Because the DEMs exist on approximately decadal time steps, we linearly interpolate the changes in the area within each bin between subsequent DEMs to produce annual hypsometries.

4. Analysis

To improve confidence in and reproducibility of mass-balance estimates, as well as to allow direct intercomparison of otherwise disparate glacier records, we developed a flexible yet consistent reanalysis approach (Fig. 2). The approach provides the capacity to evaluate glacier-wide seasonal and annual surface mass balance in a variety of time systems, reference frames, extrapolation types and geodetic calibration styles (Supplementary Material). Common to other mass-balance programs, this approach centers on fitting a mass-balance profile to point observations (Fig. 3), then integrating (extrapolating) the profile over the coincident glacier hypsometry to estimate seasonal and annual mass balances. The cumulative glacier-wide mass balance is calculated, then compared with the cumulative geodetic mass balance. If the two series diverge beyond geodetic uncertainties, a calibration is performed. We use the word calibration to describe a least-squares adjustment of the in situ glaciological data to minimize the difference with geodetic data (Zemp and others, 2013). Many of the analysis steps require the use of a massbalance model (Section 4.2) to resolve changes in mass among observations (e.g. direct measurements and image acquisitions) or to coincide with the floating-date time system.

4.1 Estimating glacier-wide mass balance

Mass-balance profiles (e.g. Fountain and Vecchia, 1999; Cogley and others, 2011) provide an elevation-based parameterization of point observations (b(z)), through which glacier-wide mass balance (*B*) is calculated. For each profile fit, we required a minimum of three distributed point balance observations: one in the ablation zone, another in the vicinity of the ELA and a third in the accumulation zone. We explore sensitivity to various interpolation and extrapolation schemes (Section 4.5) by producing a solution set with multiple forms of balance profiles (e.g. linear, piecewiselinear) and the site-index method historically used at GG and WG (Fig. S5). Ultimately, we use a piecewise-linear balance profile for interpretation, and the other methods to constrain uncertainties arising from the balance profile. This piecewise approach can be applied to almost all seasonal and annual datasets, allows variable profile slopes in the accumulation and ablation zones, and collapses to a linear profile under many sparse data cases. Extenuating circumstances occasionally prohibited field data collection at more than two sites requiring infilling as described in the Supplementary Material.

Resolving glacier-wide mass balance in floating-date time systems requires estimates to be coincident with seasonal glacier mass extrema (Cogley and others, 2011). The first step in resolving extrema is modeling point balance time series at the highest and lowest elevation sites to bracket the timing of the glacier-wide extrema. Then daily glacier-wide solutions through the bracketed interval yield the timing and balance at the glacier-wide extrema (Fig. S3).

Finally, challenges unique to LCG and SCG prohibited the reanalysis from extending to the beginning of the record (Supplementary Material, Table S7), and required splicing with data from previously published results (WGMS, 2017).

268

269

270

271

4.2 Mass-balance model

Many time systems require resolving the timing mismatch among observations (e.g. stakes, DEMs) using a mass-balance model (e.g. van Beusekom and others, 2010). Model adjustments are generally applied over short-time intervals (i.e. days–weeks). We estimated daily point mass balance (*b*) as the sum of ablation (*a*) and accumulation (*c*):

$$b = a + c. \tag{1}$$

Ablation was modeled, using the positive degree-day approach, as:

$$a = T_{(T>0^{\circ})}k_{s,i}$$
 (2)

where $T_{(T>0^\circ)}$ is a positive air temperature estimate for each measurement site on the glacier and $k_{s,i}$ is an ablation coefficient (degree-day factor) for snow (s) or ice (i) determined for each glacier. Site temperatures were calculated from weather station observations using a constant adiabatic lapse rate of -6.5 °C km^{-1} (Barry, 1992) to account for elevation differences. Ablation coefficients ($k_{s,i}$) were solved for each glacier using all data over the entire study period (Table S8) and show general agreement with literature values (e.g. Braithwaite, 1995).

Snow accumulation was estimated as:

$$c = P_{(T < 1.7^{\circ})} \gamma \tag{3}$$

where *P* is recorded precipitation when the temperature was lower than 1.7 °C and γ a scale factor between the total winter snow accumulation at a mass-balance site and the respective weather station (van Beusekom and others, 2010). For routinely measured sites, site-specific scale factors (γ) were determined, but for sites measured fewer than three times, we applied a glacier-wide average scale factor (Table S9).

4.3 Geodetic mass balance and calibration

Geodetic mass-balance time series were constructed from DEM data (Table 1) to assess and reduce (through calibration) systematic biases in the glaciological cumulative balance (Zemp and others, 2013). The combination of abundant high-quality spaceborne imagery and rapid changes to the glaciers prompted us to explore several time-windowed approaches.

4.3.1 Geodetic mass balance

For each glacier, DEMs were produced in a common geospatial reference frame (WGS84) and projection (UTM), and then aligned using a universal co-registration method (Nuth and Kääb, 2011). The co-registration minimizes apparent elevation changes across stable (bedrock), snow-free, off-glacier terrain. The highest quality DEM in each time series is designated as the 'reference,' with which all other DEMs were aligned (Table 1). Once co-registered, DEMs were differenced from the reference DEM to yield elevation change across the glacier surface (Shean and others, 2016; Sass and others, 2017). Voids in the difference map exceeding 5% of the glacier surface were filled using a regression between the change in surface elevation and the reference surface elevation (Larsen and others, 2015). Glacier volume change from the DEM differencing was converted to mass change by specifying a material density of $850 \pm 60 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, which accounts for the unknown changes in firm density and volume (Huss, 2013). We do not explicitly account for internal accumulation or ablation (Oerlemans, 2013; Andreassen and others, 2016), but given representative precipitation rates 331 and glacier elevation ranges we can assume none of the glaciers 332 is subject to significant internal accumulation. We also estimated 333

infill missing data



Fig. 3 - Colour online, Colour in print

2 - B/W online, B/W in print

ю. Ц

Fig. 3. Mass-balance profile curve fitting. Using annual balance (b_a) as an example (seasonal balances in Fig. S6), we show piecewise-linear fits to all available point balance data from each glacier with colors matching all other figures (left-hand axis, shown only in (a)). From left to right, (a) Gulkana, (b) Wolverine, (c) Lemon Creek, (d) South Cascade and (e) Sperry glaciers. Open circles in (b) represent results from a short-term (2016) extensive stake network but these data are not used to constrain the fitted profile. Hypsometries are shown as bar plots below the profiles (right-hand axes, in gray) The initial hypsometry is shown in dark grey and the modern hypsometry in lighter grey.

the physical limit of internal melting from dissipative heat and found it to range only between 0.01 and 0.06 m w.e. a^{-1} across the study glaciers.

4.3.2 Geodetic calibration

As the first step in geodetic calibration, we rejected DEMs with >60% snow-covered area to minimize uncertainty related to poor constraints on the density of seasonal snow. Next, we addressed the temporal mismatch between field campaigns and image acquisition, as acquisition rarely coincides with the timing of mass minima (Tables S2–S6). Using the mass-balance model, we adjust the geodetic mass-balance results to account for mass gains or losses between the DEM dates and the timing of mass extrema. These adjustments were on average 25 ± 18 days which amounted to a -0.45 ± 0.51 m w.e. average adjustment on the cumulative geodetic mass balance. Once both datasets were migrated to a common time, calibration of the direct time series occurred.

The most commonly adopted calibration approach involves applying a sequential adjustment to the direct time series for each DEM pair in the geodetic time series (Fig. S7; Zemp and others, 2013). Sequential calibrations minimize the residual difference of cumulative direct and geodetic mass-balance time series for each geodetic interval independently. This approach may be appropriate when a limited number of DEMs exist (e.g. decadal or longer time spans between acquisitions), and offers the advantage of resolving permanent solutions over the majority of the record. However, when differencing over short-time intervals (<5 years), changes in firn structure and the associated density assumption can introduce substantial aliasing errors in the geodetic mass-balance time series.

Alternatively, a global calibration can be developed, with a single weighted least-squares fit over the entire time series (e.g. van Beusekom and others, 2010; O'Neel and others, 2014). Weights were derived from the uncertainties of each DEM. The slope of the least-squares fit describes the annualized rate of systematic

bias, which was then applied to calibrate the direct time series (Fig. S7). This global approach has the benefit of fitting through the maximum number of data points, but the distinct disadvantage that it assumes a uniform bias in the direct method over the entire period of record. A constant bias may exist for constant measurement networks, small changes in glacier geometry or under steady climate conditions, but is highly unlikely to apply uniformly through periods of substantial glacier change. Furthermore, it results in changes (generally small) to the entire calibrated time series each time a new DEM is produced, which may not be warranted.

We developed a third, hybrid approach, where a piecewiselinear calibration is fit over ~10-year intervals (longer if necessary), each of which must contain at least three DEMs (Fig. S7). This breakpoint approach maximizes the incorporation of geodetic data while minimizing errors that arise from simple density assumptions when there is substantial interannual variability in glacier mass balance (Huss, 2013). It allows calibration intervals to coincide with changes in glacier hypsometry, measurement networks and/or climate. Regardless of the calibration approach used, annual calibrations are distributed equally between the accumulation and ablation seasons.

4.4 Variability and trend analyses

Mass balance and meteorological time series were analyzed for correlations, variability and the presence of trends using parametric (c.f. Medwedeff and Roe, 2017) and nonparametric methods (e.g. O'Neel and others, 2014). Our parametric approach involved forming linearly – detrended anomalies, evaluating the normality of residuals, then using decade-normalized *t*-statistics (after Roe, 2011) to evaluate and intercompare the presence of significant trends. To improve confidence in our statistics, a similar process was followed using the nonparametric Mann-Kendall test (Hamed and Ramachandra Rao, 1998) on anomaly time series.

4.5 Sensitivity and uncertainty

Traditional error assessment is commonly done from the bottom up: investigators begin with point balance errors and arrive at error estimates for glacier-wide balance (e.g. Cogley and Adams, 1998; Zemp and others, 2013; Beedle and others, 2014). However, this approach requires assumptions about the relation between point measurements and the glacier-wide mass balance that are largely untestable, notably that point measurements adequately represent mass-balance variability over the entire glacier.

We endeavor to avoid those assumptions using a top-down approach that partitions the total uncertainty in glacier-wide mass balance into two components. The first component reflects uncertainty in the temporal trend (i.e. cumulative mass balance), and the second component relates to annual balance uncertainty. Trend uncertainty derives from the geodetic balance, while uncertainty in annual balance follows from quantifying the magnitude of variance from the different extrapolation and calibration methods. The two terms can be combined to define characteristic uncertainties for the mass balance at each glacier (Thibert and Vincent, 2009).

4.5.1 Geodetic mass-balance uncertainty

Geodetic mass-balance uncertainty arises from errors in computing glacier volume change, and errors in the material density assignment required to convert volume to mass. Volume change error has two sources: elevation error and elevation data gaps.

Elevation error is characterized by off-glacier elevation differences remaining after co-registration. In all cases, spatial autocorrelation areas were smaller than the glaciers, and in some cases the spatial autocorrelation area may be as small as an artifact of the 400

401

total DEM area, which leads to a potential underestimate of uncertainty. Hence, we use the more conservative normalized median absolute deviation N_{z} , as a robust estimate of variance in a non-Gaussian distribution,

$$N_z = 1.4826 \cdot \text{median}(|\Delta z - m_{\Delta z}|), \qquad (4)$$

where Δz are the remaining off-glacier elevation differences between the aligned DEMs, $m_{\Delta z}$ is the median of the Δz values and the coefficient scales the rank-based statistic to provide a robust estimate of variance compared to the standard deviation (Höhle and Höhle, 2009; Shean and others, 2016).

Error from infilling elevation data gaps is nonzero when elevation data are missing over >5% of the glacier surface (Tables S2–S6). Gaps are infilled using a linear interpolation model across the glacier surface (Larsen and others, 2015). We evaluate uncertainty due to gap interpolation with the mean absolute error statistic *M*, given by,

$$M = \frac{\Sigma |r|}{n},\tag{5}$$

where r is the residual between observed and infilled surface elevation changes, and n is the number of measured values over the glacier surface.

We combined these two elevation uncertainty components using the fraction of the glacier surface that required interpolation (*f*) and the fraction that did not need interpolation (1 - f) to yield σ_{dz} , the total elevation uncertainty, given by,

$$\sigma_{dz} = N_z (1 - f) + (M + N_z) f.$$
(6)

Finally, we take the total geodetic mass-balance error σ_g (m w.e.) as the quadratic sum of the volume change term and a density term (Beedle and others, 2014),

$$\sigma_{\rm g} = \sqrt{\left(\sigma_{dz}\gamma\right)^2 + \left(dh\lambda_{\sigma}\right)^2},\tag{7}$$

where dh is the area-averaged surface elevation change (m), and γ and λ_{σ} are unitless factors to convert length to water equivalent units. γ takes a value of 0.85 (i.e. 850 kg m⁻³/1000 kg m⁻³) to convert σ_{dz} and λ takes a value of 0.06, i.e. 60 kg m⁻³/1000 kg m⁻³ (Huss, 2013). $\sigma_{\rm g}$ represents the total uncertainty over the geodetic differencing interval, but can be expressed in terms of annual error with units m w.e. a⁻¹.

4.5.2 Sensitivity tests

Evaluating different methods of point measurement extrapolation and geodetic calibration offers insight into how the number and distribution of point measurements affect the resolution of glacierwide mass-balance estimates. We characterize the sensitivity of our methodology by producing a set of nine mass-balance time series, each of which has a different spatial-extrapolation and/or geodeticcalibration approach. The solution set consists of the historically used site-index method (March and Trabant, 1996) and two profile fitting variations (linear and piece-wise linear). Each of these three variants is further subjected to three geodetic calibration approaches (sequential, breakpoint, global; Fig. S7). To characterize uncertainty, we calculated the normalized median absolute deviation (N_s , Eqn (4) substituting ΔB for Δz) across the solution set, with the deviation ΔB defined as the difference between any given estimate and the preferred solution. The combined characteristic uncertainty is then estimated as the root sum of squares of the trend and annual balance components for each glacier. Several other parameters such as lapse rates or degree-day factors were explored separately and found to have a much smaller influence

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464



Fig. 4. Calibrated cumulative annual balance time series for each glacier. (a) Gulkana, (b) Wolverine, (c) Lemon Creek, (d) South Cascade and (e) Sperry glaciers. Black symbols with error bars show geodetic mass-balance estimates as estimated with Eqn (7). For LCG and SCG grey dots indicate where pre-existing records were spliced to reanalyzed data. The annotated value on the left is the mean balance rate and uncertainty over the entire interval, and pre- and post-1990 mean values are highlighted with grey bars that are not linked to the *y*-axis.

on solutions (e.g. adjusting the lapse rate by 1 °C only impacted solutions by 10^{-2} m a⁻¹, whereas the differences between geodetic calibrations are 10^{-1} to 10^{0} m a⁻¹).

5. Results

We designed this reanalysis with the goal of comparing results with climate and constraining uncertainties from variations in methods. The approach yielded a suite of solutions from which we quantify the magnitude of variance arising from various extrapolation and calibration methods (i.e. sensitivity testing). We selected a preferred solution in a floating-date (as opposed to a fixed-date system; Cogley and others, 2011) 'stratigraphic' time system designed to quantify the duration of the accumulation and ablation seasons and to keep mass changes from any given season within a single mass-balance year. It uses

519

520

521

522 523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

time-variable glacier hypsometries, yielding conventional mass balances (Elsberg and others, 2001; Cogley and others, 2011). The solution incorporates a piecewise-linear mass-balance profile, and is geodetically calibrated using the breakpoint approach.

5.1 Mass Balance

Mass loss at the benchmark glaciers over the study interval is ubiquitous (Fig. 4). Average mass loss rates range from -0.28 to -0.58 m w.e. a^{-1} , with uncertainty ranging between ± 0.22 and $0.42 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ (Table 2). Averaged over their entire records, LCG exhibits the highest rate of mass loss and SG the lowest. Average rates calculated before and after 1990 (approximate midpoint) reveal that the rate of mass loss increased in all cases. Continental GG lost mass continuously through the study, whereas the maritime glaciers were initially closer to equilibrium conditions (Fig. 4). Figure 5 shows that annual mass balances are predominantly negative at all glaciers, especially in the latter half of the records. Mass turnover, as assessed via the average of seasonal balances (Fig. 5), can be categorized into continental (low turnover, $1.2 \text{ m w.e. a}^{-1}$ for GG) and maritime classes (high turnover, e.g. 3.1 m w.e. a^{-1} for LCG). Annual balance variability, as quantified by the standard deviation (Fig. S8), ranges between 0.6 and 1.1 m w.e. a^{-1} , and is lowest at LCG, and highest at WG, both of which are maritime climate settings. No progression in variability between the continental and maritime exists. Summer balance variability is equal to or exceeds winter variability except at WG, where winter balance variability exceeds summer.

Annual balances exhibit field-significant ($\alpha = 0.10$, or 90%) confidence) negative trends for all but SG, and trends at GG and LC are 95% confident (Fig. 6a and Table S11). Winter balance trends exhibit variable slopes and sign, and none are significant (Fig. 6b). Statistically significant trends in summer balance exist at GG, WG and SCG (Fig. 6c). Temperature data used in this analysis show long-term summer and annual-averaged warming trends (Fig. 7), offering a mechanistic explanation for massbalance trends and the relatively high correlations between summer and annual balances (Table S12). Confidence in precipitation data is inadequate to interpret trends in any detail.

All five of the glaciers lost area over the study interval (Fig. 8a), with fractional area losses generally increasing from north to south (Table 1). However, area losses do not track with absolute mass change (Fig. 8b). For example, LCG lost <30% of its area yet lost the most mass of any benchmark glacier during the period of record.

5.2 Sensitivity and uncertainty

Total uncertainty consists of a component related to geodetic mass balance and a component related to the direct glaciological mass balance. The uncertainty in the geodetic calibrations varies between ± 0.1 and 0.2 m w.e. a^{-1} , and this term is dominated by time system adjustments (which average 25 days). Uncertainty in the direct approach is estimated using N_s for glacier-wide annual balance, which ranges between 0.1 and 0.4 m w.e. a^{-1} . This metric reflects solution sensitivity to the various combinations of the extrapolation and calibration methods. Total uncertainty estimates average ~ 0.2 m w.e. a⁻¹, except at GG, which has a higher uncertainty of 0.44 m w.e. a^{-1} (Table 2).

6. Discussion

Long-duration glacier mass-balance records offer insight into long-term changes in climate from forcing and response perspectives (Pepin and others, 2015; Medwedeff and Roe, 2017). They provide valuable observational constraints for climate,

532

533

534

535 536 537

538

539

540

541

542

Table 2. Solution uncertainty partitioned into annual balance (N_s) and volume change (σ_{α}) components

Glacier	N _s m w.e. a ⁻¹	$\sigma_{ m g}$ m w.e. a ⁻¹	Total m w.e. a ⁻¹
Gulkana	0.43	0.10	0.44
Wolverine	0.19	0.13	0.23
Lemon Creek	0.06	0.20	0.21
S. Cascade	0.22	0.07	0.23
Sperry	0.16	0.21	0.26

Total reflects the characteristic uncertainty for each glacier through the combination of the two components.



Fig. 5. Reanalyzed results presented as seasonal (B_s, B_w) and annual (B_a) mass balance, (a) Gulkana, (b) Wolverine, (c) Lemon Creek, (d) South Cascade and (e) Sperry glaciers. Time-averaged mass turnover (m w.e. a⁻¹), expressed as the absolute value of the mean of the winter (black) and summer (gray) balances, are annotated in color for each panel.

hydrological and Earth system models (Beamer and others, 2016; Huss and Hock, 2018). However, loosely standardized computation and/or analysis methods impede interpretations of the often-complex relationship between glaciers and climate. Advances in understanding of mass-balance processes, technology and numerical methods improve our capability to assess glacier mass balance, but also require careful and consistent application to avoid introducing further bias and/or scatter within or among historical records. To address these problems, we reanalyzed USGS Benchmark Glacier datasets, with attention to tightened temporal consistency, solution sensitivity and comparability. Our work reinforces the necessity of geodetic calibration (e.g. Zemp and others, 2013), illustrates the impact of elevation-independent mass-balance drivers and offers insight into broad spatial and temporal patterns of glacier change.

6.1 Glacier-wide solutions

Traditionally, the primary goal of glacier mass-balance programs is to reduce multiple forms of measurement into a single number representing glacier-wide average mass balance. This simple output facilitates subsequent use of the data (e.g. by the sea-level rise 580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590 591 592

593

594

595

596



Fig. 6. Glacier-wide mass-balance anomaly (linearly detrended, as described in Section 4.4) time series. (a) annual balance anomaly (b) winter balance anomaly (c) summer balance anomaly. Dots represent individual values, and lines least-squares fits. Solid lines represent statistically significant trends at 95% confidence levels as evaluated with the two-sided *t*-test.

or decision-making communities), but tends to mask key assumptions and uncertainties required during formulation. This reanalysis was designed to provide exact, data-driven understanding of these aspects of mass balance.

Figure 9a shows that uncalibrated solutions vary broadly at GG, and diverge from geodetic balances in excess of uncertainty, demonstrating the need for calibration prior to evaluating trends. The apparent ability of the site-index method to outperform the profile approach is largely an artifact of the maximally sparse stake networks that were used over the majority of the time series and the way this approach caps (instead of extrapolates) the mass-balance rate at the upper measurement site (Fig. 9a). Annual balance solution variants (Fig. 9b) show similar patterns

of interannual variability, which do not appreciably influence the goodness-of-fit in the calibration process (Fig. 9c). Results for the other benchmark glaciers are provided in Figs S9–S12. Given the lack of observational constraints over the upper regions of balance profiles and the greatly reduced uncertainty of historic DEMs following SfM re-processing, we chose not to adjust (beyond fitting) balance profiles (e.g. clipping of the upper tail) to improve agreement with geodetic results. Rather, we apply systematic fits to point balance data and allow the geodetic calibration process to resolve biases between the time series (Cox and March, 2004; Thibert and Vincent, 2009).

Although the increased availability of high-resolution geodetic data fuels a desire to difference DEMs over shorter and shorter



Fig. 7. Meteorological trends at weather stations used in the reanalysis. (a) Mean annual temperature (°C). (b) Total winter (November–March) precipitation (mm) and (c) ablation season (May–September) temperature (°C). Data representing South Cascade Glacier are from Diablo Dam weather station; Lemon Creek Glacier is represented by Juneau Airport; Sperry Glacier by Flattop Snotel. Solid lines are indicative of significant trends, as evaluated with the modified Mann-Kendall test.

intervals, confidence in geodetic mass balance decreases proportionally to the differencing interval. Errors arising from co-registration relate solely to the quality of DEM alignment but are amortized over the difference interval, such that long intervals minimize uncertainty. Errors arising from the volume-to-mass conversion (i.e. glacier thinning/thickening) relate to limits in our understanding of near-surface material density variability across the glacier. Material density is commonly specified as a constant ($850 \pm 60 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$; Huss, 2013), thus this uncertainty scales with volume change. Realistically, this uncertainty should scale with the fraction of the observed volume change attributable to firm or snow. In many cases this fraction will be inversely proportional to the DEM difference interval, suggesting that over short intervals, this formulation is likely to underestimate true uncertainty (e.g. Klug and others, 2018). In contrast, material density is better constrained for large volume changes (generally longer intervals), and the uncertainty is likely to be overestimated (Huss, 2013). Improved understanding of temperate glacier firnification processes is essential to constrain volume conversion to mass, especially as differencing intervals continue to shorten.

Until a comprehensive firn-densification model is developed, we suggest that these errors can be reduced using fitted calibrations applied over optimal (decadal) time intervals. For example, given the nominal case of a 50-year mass-balance record with 10 DEMs acquired more frequently in the last 20 years, a global calibration





Fig. 8. (a) Fractional area change, expressed as a percentage of the initial glacier area. Initial year varies by glacier. (b) Absolute mass change, as determined geodetically.

distributes a single correction uniformly across the time series, regardless if measurement networks, balance rates or glacier geometry undergo significant change. Sequential calibration represents an opposite end-member, distributing corrections stepwise for every available geodetic mass balance, where large density errors may alias geodetic balances over the recent sub-decadal differencing intervals (Fig. 9d and Fig. S10d). The breakpoint calibration offers a compromise to these two end-member approaches, maximizing the incorporation of geodetic data, while also minimizing impacts of the evolving relationship between glaciological and geodetic balances.

All of the USGS Benchmark Glaciers required geodetic calibration, which resulted in small changes to recently published values (e.g. O'Neel and others, 2014; Le Bris and Paul, 2015; Clark and others, 2017; WGMS, 2017; Florentine and others, 2018). At four of the five glaciers in this study, geodetic balances are more negative than their direct glaciological counterparts (Fig. 9 and Figs S9–S12), suggesting a consistent tendency for direct observation networks to underestimate ablation (or overestimate accumulation) across the suite of glaciers. These results strongly support the concept that uncalibrated mass-balance solutions will possess bias unless an independent geodetic assessment shows otherwise (Krimmel, 1999; Cox and March, 2004; Huss and others, 2009; Zemp and others, 2013).

The mismatch between direct and geodetic time series is common but remains poorly understood. Perhaps the most commonly suggested driver for the mismatch is internal or basal mass balances (Cogley and Adams, 1998; Zemp and others, 2013; Andreassen and others, 2016). However, at the benchmark glaciers, estimates for these processes are <0.06 m w.e. a^{-1} , and constitute only ~15% of the average calibration (Table S10), suggesting alternative processes drive the mismatch.

6.2 Elevation dependence

The balance profile method has become standard for extrapolating point observations over the glacier area (Beedle and others, 2014; Barandun and others, 2015; WGMS, 2017). The central tenet of this method is that elevation provides a higher-order control than local effects such as snow redistribution, topographic shading or surface features in glacier-wide mass-balance estimates. Local effects are unlikely to be captured by logistically feasible measurement networks, and are often assumed to be negligible. However, the frequent divergence between geodetic 730

731

744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

and direct mass balances suggests that important processes elude the elevation-based parameterization.

At WG, ~20 m amplitude drift and scour patterns character-732 ize ~20% of the accumulation zone (Fig. 10a; McGrath and 733 others, 2018). Safety and logistical practicalities prohibit the rou-734 tine collection of a distributed set of measurements from this 735 region, precluding an estimate of average mass within this region 736 of extreme local variability. Sub-annual DEM analyses on gla-737 ciers may help to reveal and resolve the complex spatial patterns 738 in snow accumulation, but require extensive, typically unavail-739 able, data to constrain sub-annual changes in surface elevation 740 and its partitioning into components driven by ice flow, changes 741 in material density and mass-balance processes (Sold and others, 742 2013). 743

At SG, avalanching constitutes a primary snow accumulation process, yet avalanche input is irregularly recorded by the measurement network, which can alter winter balance estimates in both directions (Clark and others, 2017). The steep headwalls serving as avalanche source regions during winter also provide topographic shading during the ablation season, resulting in an inverted summer balance profile and a larger mass turnover rate than expected from regional climate forcing (Figs 3, 5 and Fig. S6). Roughly 80% of the local forcing can be attributed to augmentation of the accumulation budget and 20% to the ablation budget by shading (Florentine and others, 2018). As shown in Figure 10b, SCG displays similar local controls; spatial distributions of firn align with shading patterns along the glacier margin rather than with elevation. Finally, crevasse fields and icefalls increase surface roughness and area-volume ratios, and in turn melt rates via changes to turbulent and latent heat fluxes (Colgan and others, 2016). One such example is found in the icefall between sites AU and N at WG (Fig. 1c) where ablation rates measured within a 15 m elevation range differ by more than 3 m w.e. (Fig. S5). Although hazards present in icefalls tend to dissuade direct observations, the enhanced melt occurring in such areas may be essential to include in glacierwide balance estimates.

Measurement networks rarely span the entire elevation range of a glacier, which can markedly influence the slope of the balance profile (Fig. 3 and Fig. S4), and may consequently explain a substantial fraction of the divergence between direct and geodetic cumulative balances. For example, Figure 9a shows the 1967-2018 uncalibrated cumulative balance at GG reaches +20 m w.e. whereas the calibrated value is ~ -30 m w.e. Efforts can be made to constrain the profile, either by imposing limits on massbalance rate (e.g. Sold and others, 2016), or by using short-term (1-3 years) expanded measurement networks (i.e. stakes, pits, GPR, DEMs) to sample logistically challenging regions of the glacier. However, incorporation of short-term results into long-term programmatic efforts can introduce additional bias over earlier intervals of the record, instead of reducing overall modern biases. Expanded measurement networks help to understand processes driving mass-balance variability and trends (e.g. McGrath and others, 2018), which can subsequently be used to adjust measurement networks, especially if calibration breakpoints are established at a similar time (e.g. GG, 1974; Fig. 9b). Periods of overlap between old and new measurement networks are essential to fully understand changes in bias resulting from changing the network geometry.

For example, expanded measurement networks and GPR analyses at GG and WG suggest that the long-term measurements underestimate accumulation (McGrath and others, 2018). Yet the same expanded networks and the geodetic calibrations suggest that the historically sparse networks underestimate ablation. Indeed, negative geodetic calibrations prevail in existing reanalyses worldwide, with roughly two-thirds of reanalyses producing more negative geodetic balances (Zemp and others, 2013; Andreassen



Fig. 9. Sensitivity test results for GG. (a) Uncalibrated solutions according to different balance profile fitting methods. Geodetic mass-balance results (black squares, black error bars) plotted with the year of the reference DEM indicated (black diamond). (b) Preferred reanalyzed solution for annual mass balance (colored line) compared to other calibrated solution variants (gray lines). The range between these nine solutions for every year in the reanalysis time series is shown as gray bars keyed to the secondary *y*-axis. (c) Calibrated cumulative mass balance for the preferred reanalyzed (colored line) and other solutions. (d) Geodetic calibration coefficients for the breakpoint (solid black line), global (dashed black line), and sequential (dotted black line) methods. Other glaciers presented in Figs S9–S12.

and others, 2016; Klug and others, 2018), suggesting widespread neglect of important ablation processes. Our calibration coefficients (9 of 13 are negative) corroborate this tendency for glaciological mass-balance estimates to have positive bias (Table S10).

These examples highlight processes that result in mass-balance anomalies where safety or logistical factors challenge measurement via direct observation networks. This problem is not unique to the USGS Benchmark Glacier reanalysis; many of the longterm mass-balance records globally are drawn from glaciers with similar logistical challenges and hazards (e.g. WGMS, 2017). A measurement network with a truly random and adequate spatial distribution to accurately capture mass balance directly without a geodetic calibration would be cost-prohibitive at most glaciers (e.g. Cogley and Adams, 1998), underscoring the limitations of measurement networks and the absolute necessity for geodetic calibration.

6.3. Glacier-climate interactions

Glaciers help to inform many aspects of Earth's changing climate system by integrating climate processes over basin scales and filling high-elevation knowledge gaps (McAfee and others, 2013; Pepin and others, 2015; Medwedeff and Roe, 2017). The Benchmark Glacier reanalysis provides an opportunity to assess how traditional glacier-climate paradigms are evolving under ongoing atmospheric warming. For example, do mass changes at maritime glaciers remain linked to winter precipitation and continental glaciers to summer temperature forcings (e.g. McCabe and Fountain, 1995; Hodge and others, 1998)?

Our results do not show latitudinal patterns (e.g. south-to-north gradient) in balance rates. Rather continentality exerts a stronger influence on mass balance (Fig. 5). Historically, the mari-time (WG, LCG, SCG) glaciers, buffered by abundant snow and cooler summers, exhibited reduced mass loss rates. Compared to earlier analyses, we found increasingly strong correlations between summer and annual balances at all the glaciers (Table S12), regardless of continentality (Hodge and others, 1998; Josberger and others, 2007; O'Neel and others, 2014). Meteorology and mass-balance time series show significant trends for ablation season processes (Figs 6 and 7). Trends in precipita-tion are less robust due to snow undercatch issues, especially in the windy conditions that characterize the alpine environment (Kochendorfer and others, 2017), but suggest a non-uniform role for precipitation forcing. Precipitation trends are negative

869 870 871

872 873 874



Fig. 10. Orthophotos produced from Worldview imagery acquired on (a) Wolverine Glacier, 12 September 2018 and (b) South Cascade Glacier, 14 October 2015 with insets showing the full glacier extent. Both photos illustrate elevation-independent accumulation variability.

(or the environment is becoming windier) at SCG and WG, while LCG, despite exhibiting the most negative mass-balance trend, is experiencing an increase in winter snow (Fig. 7b). Together, these analyses suggest that increases in ablation processes serve as the primary driver of mass loss at all benchmark glaciers, in agreement with recent global and regional assessments of mass balance (Zemp and others, 2015; Box and others, 2018). In Alaska, warming trends recorded at the benchmark glaciers are of lower magnitude than at low-elevation stations, which is likely reducing mass loss rates compared to regions with elevation-dependent warming (Bieniek and others, 2014). This observation contrasts elevationdependent warming trends known to be occurring in midlatitude mountains (e.g. Pepin and others, 2015; Palazzi and others, 2019). As warming continues to increase the fraction of precipitation falling as rain, we expect further increases to mass loss rates from the maritime glaciers due to reductions in snow accumulation and associated processes (e.g. albedo feedback; McAfee and others, 2013; McGrath and others, 2017).

Regional climate only partially controls surface mass balance. Microclimate, calving, albedo, debris cover, ice thickness and glacier geometry all modify the relationship between glacier-wide mass balance and regional climate (e.g. Colgan and others, 2016; McGrath and others, 2017; Florentine and others, 2018). Many of these factors influence the benchmark glaciers, though our understanding of their significance remains largely qualitative. For example, SCG retreated into an overdeepened basin in the 1920s, forming a lake. Subsequent calving increased mass loss rates through ~1970. At SG, local processes largely govern the mass balance (Figs 3, 5 and Fig. S6), as seen at other cirque glaciers (DeBeer and Sharp, 2009; Haugen and others, 2010; Carturan and others, 2013). As the microclimate of the cirque has become increasingly important, the influence of regional climate diminished, thus slowing SG's eventual demise (Florentine and others, 2018). Figure 8 shows each glaciers' area change as a function of time, revealing the expected size dependency of glacier area change. The data also demonstrate a clear disconnect between mass change and areal extent, a further caution against the use of area or length as proxy measures for mass balance (Roe and O'Neal, 2009; Roe, 2011; Bahr and others, 2015). All USGS Benchmark Glaciers experienced accumulation area reductions over the study interval. As the ELA rises, the overall albedo value decreases because more of the surface is bare ice and therefore darker, which drives a positive feedback with the mass balance (Yde and Paasche, 2010; Naegeli and Huss, 2017). Debris can further complicate this feedback, depending on whether it is thin and increases the ablation rate, or if it becomes thick enough to insulate the ice as it does near the terminus of GG (e.g. Pratap and others, 2015).

Glacier thickness influences the rate at which glacier geometry can evolve, which determines the response time for the geometry to achieve equilibrium with climate (e.g. Jóhannesson and others, 1989; Christian and others, 2018). GG is in the process of dividing into two independent glaciers with different thickness profiles (Farinotti and others, 2019) and thereby different response characteristics (Paul and others, 2004; Jiskoot and Mueller, 2012). The terminus area fed by the west branch (sites X, W, T) retreated more than 1 km since 1993 and is in the process of fragmenting and disconnecting from the main trunk of the glacier. In contrast, the main trunk (sites B, D, V), characterized by lower surface slopes and overdeepened ice, has thinned but retreated only ~650 m. We expect continued retreat from the disconnecting lobe, which will likely contrast the overdeepened trunk that is more susceptible to altitude-mass-balance feedbacks, as observed across other low-sloping Alaska glaciers (Sass and others, 2017). This divergent response to the same climate highlights the complex nature of linkages among mass balance, glacier geometry, response time and regional climate forcing.

7. Conclusions

A consistent and comprehensive reanalysis of data from the USGS Benchmark Glaciers informed uncertainties, facilitated intercomparison and allowed insight into climate forcing of five North American glaciers. In agreement with other studies, our efforts underscore the importance of geodetic calibration to accurately assess mass-balance trends, and the irreplaceable ability of direct measurements to resolve processes driving glacier change.

Mass loss from the USGS Benchmark Glaciers is ubiquitous since measurements began, with an increase in the rate of mass loss since 1990 at four of the five glaciers. The exception is SG, where local controls, including avalanching and topographic shading, now influence mass balance more than regional

913

914

915

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

climate. We observed no evidence of latitudinal influence on mass-balance rate but found an increasingly important role of summer balance in governing annual balance. The reanalysis revealed that elevation-independent processes (crevasses, shading, snow redistribution) provide important, often neglected, influence on glacier-wide mass balance. Reanalysis reduced uncertainty in annual glacier mass balance, increasing our confidence in deducing the rate at which glaciers are losing mass. Given current projections of continued summer warming (e.g. IPCC, 2013) and the decadal response times for mountain glaciers (Harrison and others, 2001; Christian and others, 2018), we expect continued mass loss with potential for further acceleration in loss rates from the USGS Benchmark Glaciers, as well as the thousands of other glaciers in Western North America and Alaska. Improved resolution of mass-balance processes documented here is essential for developing physically accurate models and to better resolve linkages among glaciers, climate and ecosystems. As our confidence in our ability to resolve mass changes at glaciers increases, it opens a new and important layer of questions that address the wellbeing and function of our ecosystems and planet in a warmer future.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2019.66.

Acknowledgements. Countless individuals helped with fieldwork and historical data reduction. We are particularly appreciative of program maintenance from Mark Meier, Bob Krimmel, Larry Mayo, Dennis Trabant and Rod March. Recently Adam Clark, Erin Whorton and Zach Miller provided invaluable assistance with field and data preparation. Thanks to the Juneau Icefield Research Program for data sharing, access and collaboration, in particular to Mauri Pelto for guiding us through the history of data collection by JIRP. Comments from Jack Kohler, Liam Colgan, Mike Loso and an anonymous reviewer greatly improved the manuscript. Funding for the work came from USGS under the guise of many programs throughout the years. Today, support is granted by the Land Resources Mission Area, Climate Research and Development Program. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the US Government.

Author contribution. The following authors Shad O'Neel (S0), Christopher McNeil (CM), Louis Sass (LS), Caitlyn Florentine (CF), Emily Baker (EB), Erich Peitzsch (EP), Dan McGrath (DM), Andrew Fountain (AF), Dan Fagre (DF), contributed to this work. Concept and design were done by SO, LS, CM; programming was done by CM, LS, CF, SO, EP, EB; formal analyses by LS, CM, CF, SO, EP, DM; validation was done by LS, CM, EP, CF, EB, DM; data curation by EB, CM; writing was done by SO, LS, CF, CM; edit and review by LS, CF, AF, DM, CM, EB, EP; visualization was done by SO, EB, CF, EP; funding acquisition and project administration/supervision were done by SO, DF.

References

- American Geographical Society (1960) Nine Glacier Maps, Northwestern North America. New York: AGS Special Publication 34.
- Andreassen LM, Elvehøy H, Kjøllmoen B and Engeset RV (2016) Reanalysis of long-term series of glaciological and geodetic mass balance for 10 Norwegian glaciers. *Cryosphere* 10(2), 535–552. doi: 10.5194/ tc-10-535-2016.
- Bahr DB, Pfeffer WT and Kaser G (2015) A review of volume-area scaling of glaciers. Reviews of Geophysics 53(1), 95–140. doi: 10.1002/2014RG000470.
- Baker EH and 9 others (2018) USGS Benchmark Glacier mass balance and project data: U.S. Geological Survey data release. Available at https://doi. org/10.5066/F7BG2N8R.
- Barandun M and 8 others (2015) Re-analysis of seasonal mass balance at Abramov Glacier 1968–2014. *Journal of Glaciology* **61**(230), 1103–1117. doi: 10.3189/2015JoG14J239.
- Barry RG (1992) Mountain Weather and Climate, 2nd Edn. London; New York: Routledge.
- Beamer JP, Hill DF, Arendt A and Liston GE (2016) High-resolution modeling of coastal freshwater discharge and glacier mass balance in the Gulf of

Alaska watershed. *Water Resources Research* **52**(5), 3888–3909. doi: 10.1002/2015WR018457.

Beedle MJ, Menounos B and Wheate R (2014) An evaluation of mass-balance methods applied to Castle Creek Glacier, British Columbia, Canada. *Journal* of Glaciology **60**(220), 262–276. doi: 10.3189/2014JoG13J091.

Bieniek PA, Walsh JE, Thoman RL and Bhatt US (2014) Using climate divisions to analyze variations and trends in Alaska temperature and precipitation. *Journal of Climate* 27(8), 2800–2818. doi: 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00342.1.

- Box JE and 6 others (2018) Global sea-level contribution from Arctic land ice: 1971–2017. *Environmental Research Letters* **13**(12), 125012. doi: 10.1088/ 1748-9326/aaf2ed.
- Braithwaite RJ (1995) Positive degree-day factors for ablation on the Greenland ice sheet studied by energy-balance modelling. *Journal of Glaciology* 41(137), 153–160. doi: 10.3189/S0022143000017846.
- Carturan L and 11 others (2013) Current behaviour and dynamics of the lowermost Italian glacier. Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical Geography 95(1), 79–96. doi: 10.1111/geoa.12002.
- Christian JE, Koutnik M and Roe G (2018) Committed retreat: controls on glacier disequilibrium in a warming climate. *Journal of Glaciology* **64** (246), 675–688. doi: 10.1017/jog.2018.57.
- Clark AM, Fagre DB, Peitzsch EH, Reardon BA and Harper JT (2017) Glaciological measurements and mass balances from Sperry Glacier, Montana, USA, years 2005–2015. *Earth System Science Data* 9(1), 47–61. doi: 10.5194/essd-9-47-2017.
- **Cogley J and 10 others** (2011) Glossary of glacier mass balance and related terms, IHP-VII technical documents in hydrology No. 86, IACS Contribution No. 2. Paris: UNESCO-IHP.
- Cogley JG and Adams WP (1998) Mass balance of glaciers other than the ice sheets. *Journal of Glaciology* **44**(147), 315–325. doi: 10.3189/ S0022143000002641.
- **Colgan W and 6 others** (2016) Glacier crevasses: observations, models, and mass balance implications. *Reviews of Geophysics* **54**(1), 119–161. doi: 10.1002/2015RG000504.
- Cox LH and March RS (2004) Comparison of geodetic and glaciological massbalance techniques, Gulkana Glacier, Alaska, U.S.A. *Journal of Glaciology* 50(170), 363–370. doi: 10.3189/172756504781829855.
- **DeBeer CM and Sharp MJ** (2009) Topographic influences on recent changes of very small glaciers in the Monashee Mountains, British Columbia, Canada. *Journal of Glaciology* **55**(192), 691–700. doi: 10.3189/002214309789470851.
- Elsberg DH, Harrison WD, Echelmeyer KA and Krimmel RM (2001) Quantifying the effects of climate and surface change on glacier mass balance. *Journal of Glaciology* **47**(159), 649–658. doi: 10.3189/172756501781831783.
- Fagre D, McKeon L, Dick K and Fountain AG (2017) Glacier margin time series (1966, 1998, 2005, 2015) of the named glaciers of Glacier National Park, MT, USA. US Geological Survey Data Release. doi: 10.5066/F7P26WB1.
- Farinotti D and 6 others (2019) A consensus estimate for the ice thickness distribution of all glaciers on Earth. *Nature Geoscience* 12(3), 168–173. doi: 10.1038/s41561-019-0300-3.
- Florentine C, Harper J, Fagre D, Moore J and Peitzsch E (2018) Local topography increasingly influences the mass balance of a retreating cirque glacier. *Cryosphere* **12**(6), 2109–2122. doi: 10.5194/tc-12-2109-2018.
- Fountain AG, Krimmel RM and Trabant DC (1997) A strategy for monitoring glaciers. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1132, 19 p.
- Fountain AG and Vecchia AV (1999) How many stakes are required to measure the mass balance of a glacier? *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical Geography* **81**(4), 563–573. doi: 10.1111/1468-0459.00084.
- Gardner AS and 15 others (2013) A reconciled estimate of glacier contributions to sea level Rise: 2003 to 2009. *Science* **340**(6134), 852–857. doi: 10.1126/science.1234532.
- Hamed KH and Ramachandra Rao A (1998) A modified Mann-Kendall trend test for autocorrelated data. *Journal of Hydrology* **204**(1), 182–196. doi: 10.1016/S0022-1694(97)00125-X.
- Harrison WD, Elsberg DH, Echelmeyer KA and Krimmel RM (2001) On the characterization of glacier response by a single time-scale. *Journal of Glaciology* **47**(159), 659–664. doi: 10.3189/172756501781831837.
- Haugen BD, Scambos TA, Pfeffer WT and Anderson RS (2010) Twentieth-century changes in the thickness and extent of Arapaho Glacier, Front Range, Colorado. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 42 (2), 198–209. doi: 10.1657/1938-4246-42.2.198.
- Hodge SM and 5 others (1998) Climate variations and changes in mass of three glaciers in western North America. *Journal of Climate* 11(9), 2161–2179. doi: 10.1175/1520-0442(1998)011<2161:CVACIM>2.0.CO;2.

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

951 952

953 954

959

960

965

966

967 968 969

970

971

972

973

974

979 980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

- Höhle J and Höhle M (2009) Accuracy assessment of digital elevation models by means of robust statistical methods. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 64(4), 398-406. doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2009.02.003.
- Horlings A (2016) A numerical modeling investigation on calving and the recession of South Cascade Glacier. Portland State University. Available at https://pdxscholar.library.pdx.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://www. google.com/&https://redir=1&article=1367&context=honorstheses.
- Huss M (2013) Density assumptions for converting geodetic glacier volume change to mass change. Cryosphere 7(3), 877-887. doi: 10.5194/ tc-7-877-2013.
- Huss M, Bauder A and Funk M (2009) Homogenization of long-term massbalance time series. Annals of Glaciology 50(50), 198-206. doi: 10.3189/ 172756409787769627.
- Huss M and Hock R (2018) Global-scale hydrological response to future glacier mass loss. Nature Climate Change 8(2), 135-140. doi: 10.1038/ s41558-017-0049-x.
- IPCC (2013) Summary for policymakers. In Stocker TF Qin D Plattner GK Tignor M and Allen SK ed. Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 29
- Jiskoot H and Mueller MS (2012) Glacier fragmentation effects on surface energy balance and runoff: field measurements and distributed modelling. Hydrological Processes 26(12), 1861-1875. doi: 10.1002/hyp.9288.
- Jóhannesson T, Raymond C and Waddington E (1989) Time-scale for adjustment of glaciers to changes in mass balance. Journal of Glaciology 35(121), 355-369. doi: 10.3189/S002214300000928X.
- Johnson A (1980) Grinnell and Sperry Glaciers, Glacier National Park, Montana: A record of vanishing ice. Report 1180. Available at http://pubs. er.usgs.gov/publication/pp1180.
- Josberger EG, Bidlake WR, March RS and Kennedy BW (2007) Glacier mass-balance fluctuations in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska, USA. Annals of Glaciology 46, 291-296. doi: 10.3189/172756407782871314.
- Klug C and 8 others (2018) Geodetic reanalysis of annual glaciological mass balances (2001-2011) of Hintereisferner, Austria. Cryosphere 12(3), 833-849. doi: 10.5194/tc-12-833-2018.
- Kochendorfer J and 10 others (2017) The quantification and correction of wind-induced precipitation measurement errors. Hydrology and Earth System Sciences 21(4), 1973-1989. doi: 10.5194/hess-21-1973-2017.
- Krimmel RM (1989) Mass balance and volume of South Cascade Glacier, Washington 1958-1985. In Oerlemans J (ed.), Glacier Fluctuations and Climatic Change. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Springer, pp. 193-206.
- Krimmel RM (1999) Analysis of difference between direct and Geodetic mass balance measurements at South Cascade Glacier, Washington. Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical Geography 81(4), 653-658. doi: 10.1111/ 1468-0459.00093.
- Krimmel RM (2000) Water, ice and meteorological measurements at South Cascade Glacier, Washington, 1986-1991 balance years. Water-Resources Investigations Report 00-4006, 77.
- Larsen CF and 5 others (2015) Surface melt dominates Alaska glacier mass balance. Geophysical Research Letters 42(14), 5902-5908. doi: 10.1002/ 2015GL064349.
- Le Bris R and Paul F (2015) Glacier-specific elevation changes in parts of western Alaska. Annals of Glaciology 56(70), 184-192. doi: 10.3189/ 2015AoG70A227.
- March RS and Trabant DC (1996) Mass balance, meteorological, ice motion, surface altitude, and runoff data at Gulkana Glacier, Alaska, 1992 balance year. pp. 95-4277. Available at http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/wri954277.
- Marzeion B and 5 others (2017) Observation-based estimates of global glacier mass change and Its contribution to sea-level change. Surveys in Geophysics 38(1), 105-130. doi: 10.1007/s10712-016-9394-y.
- Mayo LR, Trabant DC and March RS (2004) A 30-Year record of surface mass balance (1966-95), and motion and surface altitude (1975-95) at Wolverine Glacier, Alaska. USGS Open File Report 2004-1069.
- McAfee SA, Walsh J and Rupp TS (2013) Statistically downscaled projections of snow/rain partitioning for Alaska. Hydrological Processes, 28(12), 3930-3946 (doi: 10.1002/hyp.9934).
- McCabe GJ and Fountain AG (1995) Relations between atmospheric circulation and mass balance of South Cascade Glacier, Washington, U.S.A. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 27(3), 226-233. doi: 10.1080/00040851.1995.12003117.

- McGrath D and 6 others (2018) Interannual snow accumulation variability on glaciers derived from repeat, spatially extensive ground-penetrating 995 radar surveys. Cryosphere 12(11), 3617-3633. doi: 10.5194/tc-12-3617-2018.
- McGrath D, Sass L, O'Neel S, Arendt A and Kienholz C (2017) Hypsometric control on glacier mass balance sensitivity in Alaska and northwest Canada. Earths Future, 5(3), 324-336 (doi: 10.1002/2016EF000479).
- McNeil C (2016) Surface mass balance reanalysis of Taku and Lemon Creek glaciers, Alaska: 1946-2015 (MS). Alaska Pacific University.
- Medwedeff WG and Roe GH (2017) Trends and variability in the global dataset of glacier mass balance. Climate Dynamics 48(9-10), 3085-3097. doi: 10.1007/s00382-016-3253-x.

Meier MF (1958) Research on South Cascade Glacier. Mountaineer 51, 40-47.

- Meier MF, Tangborn W, Mayo LR and Post A (1971) Combined ice and water balances of Gulkana and Wolverine glaciers, Alaska, and South Cascade Glacier, Washington, 1965 and 1966 hydrologic years. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 715-A, 23.
- Menounos B (2018) Heterogeneous changes in western North American glaciers linked to decadal variability in zonal wind strength. Geophysical Research Letters, 46(116), 200-209 (doi: 10.1029/2018GL080942).
- Miller MM and Pelto MS (1999) Mass balance measurements on the Lemon Creek Glacier, Juneau Icefield, Alaska 1953-1998. Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical Geography 81(4), 671-681.
- Moore RD (1992) The influence of glacial cover on the variability of annual runoff, Coast Mountains, British Columbia, Canada. Canadian Water Resources Journal 17(2), 101-109. doi: 10.4296/cwrj1702101.
- Naegeli K and Huss M (2017) Sensitivity of mountain glacier mass balance to changes in bare-ice albedo. Annals of Glaciology 58(75pt2), 119-129. doi: 10.1017/aog.2017.25.
- Neigh CSR, Masek JG and Nickeson JE (2013) High-resolution satellite data open for government research. Eos Transactions American Geophysical Union 94(13), 121-123. doi: 10.1002/2013EO130002.
- Nuth C and Kääb A (2011) Co-registration and bias corrections of satellite elevation data sets for quantifying glacier thickness change. Cryosphere 5 (1), 271-290. doi: 10.5194/tc-5-271-2011.
- Oerlemans J (2000) Holocene glacier fluctuations: is the current rate of retreat exceptional? Annals of Glaciology 31, 39-44. doi: 10.3189/ 172756400781820246.
- Oerlemans J (2013) A note on the water budget of temperate glaciers. Cryosphere 7(5), 1557-1564. doi: 10.5194/tc-7-1557-2013.
- O'Neel S and 12 others (2015) Icefield-to-ocean linkages across the northern pacific coastal temperate rainforest ecosystem. BioScience 65(5), 499-512. doi: 10.1093/biosci/biv027.
- O'Neel S, Hood E, Arendt A and Sass L (2014) Assessing streamflow sensitivity to variations in glacier mass balance. Climatic Change 123(2), 1-13. doi: 10.1007/s10584-013-1042-7.
- Palazzi E, Mortarini L, Terzago S and von Hardenberg J (2019) Elevationdependent warming in global climate model simulations at high spatial resolution. Climate Dynamics 52(5), 2685-2702. doi: 10.1007/s00382-018-4287-z.
- Paul F, Kääb A, Maisch M, Kellenberger T and Haeberli W (2004) Rapid disintegration of alpine glaciers observed with satellite data. Geophysical Research Letters 31(21). doi: 10.1029/2004GL020816.
- Pelto M, Kavanaugh J and McNeil C (2013) Juneau icefield mass balance program 1946-2011. Earth System Science Data 5(2), 319-330. doi: 10.5194/ essd-5-319-2013.
- Pepin N and 19 others (2015) Elevation-dependent warming in mountain regions of the world. Nature Climate Change 5, 424.
- Pratap B, Dobhal DP, Mehta M and Bhambri R (2015) Influence of debris cover and altitude on glacier surface melting: a case study on Dokriani Glacier, central Himalaya, India. Annals of Glaciology 56(70), 9-16. doi: 10.3189/2015AoG70A971.
- Roe GH (2011) What do glaciers tell us about climate variability and climate change? Journal of Glaciology 57(203), 567-578. doi: 10.3189/ 002214311796905640.
- Roe GH and O'Neal MA (2009) The response of glaciers to intrinsic climate variability: observations and models of late-Holocene variations in the Pacific Northwest. Journal of Glaciology 55(193), 839-854. doi: 10.3189/ 002214309790152438.
- Sass LC, Loso MG, Geck J, Thoms EE and Mcgrath D (2017) Geometry, mass balance and thinning at Eklutna Glacier, Alaska: an altitude-mass-balance feedback with implications for water resources. Journal of Glaciology 63 (238), 343-354. doi: 10.1017/jog.2016.146.

994

996

997

998

999

1000

1001

1002 1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1017 1018

1019 1020 1021

1022 1023

1024 1025 1026

1027 1028

1029 1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

- Schoen ER and 15 others (2017) Future of Pacific Salmon in the face of environmental change: lessons from one of the world's remaining productive salmon regions. Fisheries 42(10), 538-553. doi: 10.1080/ 03632415.2017.1374251.
- Shean DE and 6 others (2016) An automated, open-source pipeline for mass production of digital elevation models (DEMs) from very-high-resolution commercial stereo satellite imagery. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 116, 101-117. doi: 10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2016.03.012.
- Sold L and 5 others (2013) Methodological approaches to infer end-of-winter snow distribution on alpine glaciers. Journal of Glaciology 59(218), 1047-1059. doi: 10.3189/2013JoG13J015.
- Sold L (2016) Mass balance re-analysis of Findelengletscher, Switzerland; benefits of extensive snow accumulation measurements. Frontiers in Earth Science, 4, 18 (doi: 10.3389/feart.2016.00018).
- Tangborn WV, Krimmel RM and Meier MF (1975) A comparison of glacier mass balance by glaciological, hydrological and mapping methods, South Cascade Glacier, Washington. Snow Ice - Symposium - Neiges et Glaces Proceedings of the Moscow Symposium 104, 185-196.
- Thibert E and Vincent C (2009) Best possible estimation of mass balance combining glaciological and geodetic methods. Annals of Glaciology 50 (50), 112-118. doi: 10.3189/172756409787769546.
- van Beusekom AE, O'Neel S, March RS, Sass LC and Cox LH (2010) Re-analysis of Alaskan benchmark glacier mass-balance data using the

index method. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010 1060 (5247), 16. 1061

- Westoby M, Brasington J, Glasser N, Hambrey M and Reynolds J (2012) 1062 'Structure-from-Motion' photogrammetry: a low-cost, effective tool for geo-1063 science applications. Geomorphology 179, 300-314. doi: 10.1016/ 1064 j.geomorph.2012.08.021.
- 1065 WGMS (2017) Global Glacier Change Bulletin No. 2 (2014-2015). Zurich, 1066 Switzerland: World Glacier Monitoring Service. doi: 10.5904/wgms-fog-1067 2017 - 10
- Wheler BA and 5 others (2014) Effects of temperature forcing provenance 1068 and extrapolation on the performance of an empirical glacier-melt model. 1069 Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 46(2), 379-393. doi: 10.1657/ 1070 1938-4246-46.2.379. 1071
- Yde JC and Paasche Ø (2010) Reconstructing climate change: not all glaciers 1072 suitable. Eos Transactions American Geophysical Union 91(21), 189. doi: 1073 10.1029/2010EO210001. 1074
- Zemp M and 17 others (2013) Reanalysing glacier mass balance measurement series. Cryosphere 7(4), 1227-1245. doi: 10.5194/tc-7-1227-2013.
- Zemp M and 37 others (2015) Historically unprecedented global glacier decline in the early 21st century. Journal of Glaciology 61(228), 745-762. doi: 10.3189/2015JoG15J017.
- Zemp M and 14 others (2019) Global glacier mass changes and their contributions to sea-level rise from 1961 to 2016. Nature 568(7752), 382-386. doi: 1080 10.1038/s41586-019-1071-0.

17

1075

1076

1077

1078

1079